IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

Writ Petition Lodging No.63 of 2007

Maharashtra Rajya Suraksha
Rakshak & General Kamgar Union. Petitioners.
V
Security Guards Board for Greater
Bombay and Thane Dist & others. Respondents.

Ms Vaishali Bhilare for the petitioners
Mr. M.S.Topkar for respondent No.1.

CORAM: J.N. PATEL,Acg C.J. ,&
S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.
DATE: March 26, 2007.

P.C.:-

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioners are approaching this court seeking a direction against respondent No.1 to initiate action against respondent Nos. 2 and 3 under section 42 of the Security Guards Act and the Scheme framed thereunder and for a further direction to respondent No.1 to register the security guards listed at Exh A to the petition and to allot them to respondent No.1 and respondent No.2 to get itself registered with the respondent Board along with ancillary reliefs. It is the

case of the petitioners that the members of the petitioner union are employed with respondent No.2 at the various ATM centers of respondent No.2 through respondent Nos. 3 and 4 for watch and ward duty.

The learned counsel appearing for the respondent Board submits that if the petitioners apply, the Board will consider their application for registration and allot the members of the petitioner union to respondent No.2, who are deployed as security guards at the various ATM centers of respondent No.2, which is an establishment not registered with the Board. At this stage the learned counsel for the petitioners pointed out that they have already made an application to the Board seeking registration and that the Board be directed to take decision in the matter within a period of four weeks. The learned counsel for the respondent Board has no objection if the interim order passed by this court protecting the services of the members of the petitioner union deployed at the various ATM centers of respondent No.2 establishment are protected for a period of four weeks, within which period the respondent Board will take decision on their application pending with them, in accordance with the Security Guards Act/Rules.

In view of this statement nothing survives in this petition. Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.